ACS Macro Letters

Ferrocene Cocatalysis in Metal-Catalyzed Living Radical Polymerization: Concerted Redox for Highly Active Catalysis

Kojiro Fujimura, Makoto Ouchi,* and Mitsuo Sawamoto*

Department of Polymer Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Katsura, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8510, Japan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Ferrocene (FeCp₂), despite its high stability, was found, for the first time, to cocatalyze living radical polymerization in concert with a ruthenium main catalyst (Ru^{II}) that is directly responsible for generating growing radicals. FeCp₂ turned out to promote the following key reactions: regeneration of Ru^{II} through a reduction of XRu^{III} (Fe^{II}Cp₂ + XRu^{III} \rightarrow Fe^{III}Cp₂⁺X⁻ + Ru^{II}; X: halogen); halogen-capping reaction, or regeneration of dormant species ~~~C-X, by the resultant trivalent ferrocenium cation Fe^{III}Cp₂⁺ (~~~C• + Fe^{III}Cp₂⁺X⁻ \rightarrow ~~~C-X + Fe^{II}Cp₂). The cocatalysis was further improved by the addition of *n*-Bu₄NCl to allow a dramatic decrease in the initial Ru^{II} concentration without any loss of the high controllability. For example, in conjunction with FeCp₂/*n*-Bu₄NCl, only 50 ppm (for monomer) of Ru^{II} can catalyze living radical polymerization to give controlled polymers with high molecular weights and narrow molecular weight distributions ($M_n \sim 1.0 \times 10^5$; $M_w/M_n \sim 1.3$). Such a concerted catalysis with ferrocene would open the door to practical applicatio



concerted catalysis with ferrocene would open the door to practical applications of living radical polymerization.

M etal-catalyzed living radical polymerization (LRP) is one of the useful tools to precisely prepare well-defined polymers.¹⁻⁸ The catalysis involves a reversible activation of a dormant carbon-halogen bond ($\sim\sim\sim C-X$; $\sim\sim\sim$: polymer chain; X: halogen) to give growing radical species, based on a one-electron redox of the catalyst (Mtⁿ \leftrightarrow XMtⁿ⁺¹; Mt: metal). The reversibility is critical in suppressing an instant elevation of radical concentration, consequently reducing unfavorable side reactions such as bimolecular radical coupling and disproportionation.

Though notably robust, versatile, and efficient, the usage of metal catalysts caused some specific issues: metal contamination of obtained polymers; deactivation of catalyst by polar groups; accumulation of oxidized forms by oxygen or unavoidable side reactions; and rising costs from precious metals.³ An effective solution is to reduce the required amount of metal catalysts via promoting the catalytic cycle. Indeed, some methodologies have been developed for efficient catalysis for copper^{5,8–12} and ruthenium^{13–16} systems. Besides, an iron-based catalysis has recently attracted attention because of abundance, safety, and high biocompatibility. However, the current iron catalysis in LRP is still beyond satisfaction, as clearly indicated by few examples in precision polymer synthesis. Equally important, there seems little effective method for reducing the oxidized form (Ru^{III}) of ruthemium complexes into catalyticaly active, radical-generating reduced counterpart (Ru^{II}).¹⁷

Ferrocene (FeCp₂) is one of the most traditional organometallic complexes or metallocenes, and the robust η^6 ligation by two cyclopentadiene ligands with six electrons each is conductive to its high stability.¹⁸ Therefore, FeCp₂ and the derivatives have rarely been employed as catalysts in polymerization and organic reactions. This is unexceptional in metal-catalyzed LRP: FeCp₂ is too stable to activate a carbon–halogen bond into a carbon radical. However, it affords a one-electron redox and behaves as an electron reservoir, where FeCp₂ is oxidized to the trivalent ferrocenium cation (FeCp₂⁺).¹⁹ For instance, FeCp₂ reacts with two equivalents of FeCl₃ as a strong oxidizing agent to give FeCp₂⁺FeCl₄⁻ and FeCl₂. In such FeCp₂-related redox reactions, the counteranion for the resulting cationic trivalent iron (FeCp₂⁺) affects the stability of the salt: for example, FeCp₂⁺PF₆⁻ is stable enough to be isolated as a crystal, while FeCp₂⁺Cl⁻ is unstable and prone to decomposition.²⁰

These phenomena motivated us to employ $FeCp_2$ as a *cocatalyst* for metal-catalyzed LRP because it might contribute to an enhancement of the catalytic cycle via a promotion of deactivation process, or regeneration of dormant C–X ends, which involves a reduction of XMt^{n+1} into Mt^n (the primary catalyst): $FeCp_2 + XMt^{n+1} \rightarrow FeCp_2^+X^- + Mt^n$. Furthermore, due to its relative instability, resultant $FeCp_2^+X^-$ may induce a direct halogen-capping reaction onto the growing radical species or another route to regenerate the dormant end: $\sim \sim \sim C \bullet + FeCp_2^+X^- \rightarrow \sim \sim \sim C-X + FeCp_2$. Evidently, organic halide anions, such as $n-Bu_4N^+X^-$, are incapable of promoting such a halogen-capping reaction to radical species. However, the nature of the halide anion in $FeCp_2^+X^-$ should be quite different from that in the onium salts, as speculated by the stability difference. Consequently, as shown in Scheme 1, a concerted

```
Received:December 20, 2011Accepted:January 24, 2012Published:January 31, 2012
```

Scheme 1. Expected Cocatalysis of $FeCp_2$ in LRP and Ruthenium Catalysts in This Work



(co)catalysis of $FeCp_2$ and the main catalyst (e.g., ruthenium complex Ru^{II}) is expected. Herein, we report a novel catalysis via a concerted $FeCp_2$ cocatalysis for the ruthenium-catalyzed LRP.

A pentametylcyclopentatienyl rutheinum complex, Cp*-RuCl(PPh₃)₂ (**Cp*Ru**), is inherently active in metal-catalyzed LRP, as predicted by its lower redox potential and faster halogen exchange.²¹ However, **Cp*Ru** usually needs a cocatalyst [e.g., Al(O*i*-Pr)₃ or amine] that likely assists an in situ transformation of **Cp*Ru** into a coordinatively unsaturated, 16electron form [e.g., Cp*RuCl(PPh₃)] and a promotion of the halogen-capping reaction.^{13,14,22} We, thus, selected **Cp*Ru** as a main catalyst to examine the cocatalysis of FeCp₂ in polymerization of methyl methacrylate (MMA) in conjunction with a chlorine initiator [H-(MMA)₂-Cl] in anisole at 100 °C: [MMA]₀ = 4.0 M, [H-(MMA)₂-Cl]₀ = 40 mM, [**Cp*Ru**]₀ = 4.0 mM, [FeCp₂]₀ = 40 mM. The addition of FeCp₂ obviously accelerated the polymerization (Figure 1A): Conversion in 49 h;



Figure 1. Effects of FeCp₂ on metal-catalyzed LRP of MMA with Cp*Ru or Cp*Ru⁺ in anisole at 100 °C ([MMA]₀/[H-(MMA)₂-Cl]₀ = 4000/40 mM). (A) [Cp*Ru]₀ = 4.0 mM (O), [Cp*Ru]₀/[FeCp₂]₀ = 4.0/40 mM (●), [Cp*Ru⁺]₀ = 4.0 mM (□), [Cp*Ru⁺]₀/[FeCp₂]₀ = 4.0/40 mM (■); (B) [Cp*Ru⁺]₀ = 1.0 mM (O), [Cp*Ru⁺]₀/[FeCp₂]₀ = 4.0/40 mM (■), [Cp*Ru⁺]₀/[FeCp₂]₀ = 1.0/40 mM (■), [Cp*Ru⁺]₀/[FeCp₂]₀ = 1.0/40/40 mM (●).

87% from 56% without FeCp₂. Obtained polymers were wellcontrolled and of narrow molecular weight distributions (MWDs; $M_w/M_n < 1.2$; Figure S1). Because FeCp₂ alone was totally inactive for MMA under otherwise the same conditions, these results indicate a concerted cocatalysis of FeCp₂ with the ruthenium primary catalyst.

However, the polymerization with the **Cp*Ru**/FeCp₂ system was still not very fast, probably because ferrocene was incapable

of generating coordinatively unsaturated ruthenium (Ru^{II}) species. Quite recently, we have found that a cationic ruthenium Cp* complex [Cp*Ru⁺(CH₃CN)-(PPh₃)₂PF₆⁻: Cp*Ru⁺] catalytically more active than Cp*Ru due to the smoother transformation into an unsaturated form via the spontaneous dissociation of the coordinated solvent (e.g., CH₃CN). Accordingly, a combination of Cp*Ru+ with FeCp₂ led to a much faster polymerization (88% conversion in 12 h) with controllability retained ($M_w/M_n < 1.1$; Figure S2).

The cocatalytic effects of FeCp₂ were more remarkable at a lower initial dose of **Cp*Ru**⁺ (1.0 mM, 1/40 equiv for the initiator; Figure 1B). The polymerization was retarded and leveled off without FeCp₂ (<60% conversion beyond 20 h), whereas with FeCp₂ smoothly reaching near completion and well controlled (89% conversion in 33 h; $M_w/M_n = 1.13$). Interestingly, the **Cp*Ru**⁺/FeCp₂-catalyzed system was further accelerated upon addition of a chloride salt (*n*-Bu₄NCl, 40 mM), most likely via an additional promotion of the halogen-capping reaction by unstable FeCp₂⁺CΓ, in which *n*-Bu₄NCl serves as a halogen donor for a growing radical. Despite the low catalyst concentration, the polymerization was controlled and faster than the salt-free system (90% conversion in 10 h; $M_w/M_n = 1.14$). A similar "salt-effect" operated with the neutral **Cp*Ru** (Figure S3).

These positive effects of FeCp₂ also enabled the efficient synthesis of higher molecular weight polymers (1000 mer) at a high monomer concentration ([MMA]₀ = 6.0 M; [H-(MMA)₂-Cl]₀ = 6.0 mM). For this, an extremely small amount of **Cp*Ru**⁺ (0.3 mM; 50 ppm for monomer) was injected along with FeCp₂ and *n*-Bu₄NCl ([FeCp₂]₀ = 12 mM; [*n*-Bu₄NCl]₀ = 0.5 mM). As shown in Figure 2, the observed M_n s agreed well



Figure 2. Syntheses of high MW poly(MMA)s with a low amount of ruthenium catalyst in conjunction with FeCp₂: $[MMA]_0/[H-(MMA)_2-Cl]_0/[Cp*Ru^+]_0/[FeCp_2]_0/[n-Bu_4NCl]_0 = 6000/6.0/0.3/12/0.5 mM in anisole at 100 °C.$

with the calculated values, while MWD remained relatively narrow. The fine reaction control at the high molecular weight range demonstrates an efficient redox cycle coupled with a high turnover frequency.

The redox-promoting function of FeCp₂ as a reducing agent was verified by a radical coupling reaction of a model dormant end [R–Cl: ethyl 2-chloro-2-phenylacetate (ECPA)] catalyzed with the **Cp*Ru**⁺/FeCp₂ pair (without *n*-Bu₄NCl; Figure 3). The reaction was carried out in the presence of a chlorine-free ammonium salt (NH₄PF₆) to exclude the secondary role of FeCp₂ as an indirect chloride donor via FeCp₂⁺CΓ, which forms in situ as the reduction proceeds: FeCp₂ + ClRu^{III} \rightarrow FeCp₂⁺CΓ + Ru^{II}. The added NH₄PF₆ instead transforms the



Letter



Figure 3. Coupling reaction of ECPA with Cp^*Ru^+ in conjunction with $FeCp_2/NH_4PF_6$ in toluene- d_8/THF (2/1 v/v) at 100 °C. [ECPA]₀/[Cp^*Ru^+]₀/[$FeCp_2$]₀/[NH_4PF_6]₀ = 40/4.0/40/40 mM.

chloride irreversibly into a more stable form $FeCp_2^+PF_6$. Despite a low catalyst dose ($[Cp*Ru^+]_0/[ECPA]_0 = 1/10$), the coupling product (R–R) was obtained in high yield (87% in 72 h; Figures S4 and S5). Without $FeCp_2$, the reaction was retarded (<13%), indicative of the accumulation of ClRu^{III}. These results support cocatalysis of $FeCp_2$ via the reduction of ClRu^{III} into Ru^{II}. Additionally, this catalytic performance suggests an in situ catalysis transformation (a one-pot cascade reaction) to be triggered just by addition of NH₄PF₆; for example, from the FeCp₂-cocatalyzed LRP into a coupling reaction of the obtained polymer chains. This is now under investigation.

Another expected contribution of FeCp₂ to LRP is the promotion of the halogen-capping of radical species via in situ generated $FeCp_2^+Cl^-: \sim \sim \sim C \bullet + FeCp_2^+Cl^- \rightarrow \sim \sim \sim \sim C-Cl +$ FeCp₂. This was confirmed in conventional free radical polymerization (Figure S6): MMA was first polymerized with an azo initiator [1,1'-azobis(cyclohexane-1-carbonitrile) (V-40); 10 h half-life decomposition temperature 88 °C] in the presence of $FeCp_2^+PF_6^-$, and, at 40–50% monomer conversion, an equimolar amount of n-Bu₄NCl was added in situ to transform $FeCp_2^+PF_6^-$ into $FeCp_2^+C\Gamma$. The polymerization was terminated, where the $FeCp_2^+C\Gamma$ effectively capped the free radicals into inactive covalent terminals. On the other hand, the polymerization with V-40 in the absence of $FeCp_2^+PF_6^-$ was not disturbed by addition of n-Bu₄NCl. From these results, $FeCp_2^+Cl^-$ (in situ generated in LRP) promotes the radical capping reaction via the reduction of itself.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the concerted catalysis of ferrocene for metal-catalyzed LRP. In addition to positive effects on LRP such as acceleration, the catalysis is unique and of interest. Coupled with ruthenium complex, ferrocene contributes to not only the regeneration of Ru^{II} but also the halogen-capping reaction for growing radical species along with its own redox cycle (Fe^{II} \leftrightarrow Fe^{III}). Thus, the redox catalytic cycle in LRP was efficiently rotated to allow a decrease in the dose of the main catalyst without serious loss of control. The additional experiments (i.e., a coupling reaction of R-X and in situ formation of $FeCp_2^+C\Gamma$ in free radical polymerization) likely support these contributions, although they are not perfect as the evidence yet. We are now studying a relation of redox potential between the main catalyst and ferrocene to clarify more detailed mechanism. Thus, our next interest is directed to a combination of FeCp₂ with an iron-based catalyst toward more practical LRP systems that are faster, more efficient, robust, have higher functionality, and are tolerant with a minute use of metal catalysts.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental details and results (SEC curves, ¹H NMR, and time-conversion curves) for polymerization and coupling reactions. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: ouchi@living.polym.kyoto-u.ac.jp; sawamoto@star. polym.kyoto-u.ac.jp.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research (23655103) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

REFERENCES

- (1) Kamigaito, M.; Ando, T.; Sawamoto, M. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101 (12), 3689–3745.
- (2) Ouchi, M.; Terashima, T.; Sawamoto, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41 (9), 1120–1132.
- (3) Ouchi, M.; Terashima, T.; Sawamoto, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109* (11), 4963–5050.
- (4) Matyjaszewski, K.; Xia, J. H. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101 (9), 2921–2990.
- (5) Tsarevsky, N. V.; Matyjaszewski, K. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107 (6), 2270–2299.
- (6) Matyjaszewski, K.; Tsarevsky, N. V. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1 (4), 276–288.
- (7) di Lena, F.; Matyjaszewski, K. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2010, 35 (8), 959–1021.
- (8) Rosen, B. M.; Percec, V. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109 (11), 5069–5119.
 (9) Jakubowski, W.; Matyjaszewski, K. Macromolecules 2005, 38 (10), 4139–4146.
- (10) Zhang, Y. Z.; Wang, Y.; Matyjaszewski, K. *Macromolecules* 2011, 44 (4), 683–685.
- (11) Magenau, A. J. D.; Strandwitz, N. C.; Gennaro, A.; Matyjaszewski, K. *Science* **2011**, 332 (6025), 81–84.
- (12) Percec, V.; Guliashvili, T.; Ladislaw, J. S.; Wistrand, A.; Stjerndahl, A.; Sienkowska, M. J.; Monteiro, M. J.; Sahoo, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128* (43), 14156–14165.
- (13) Ouchi, M.; Ito, M.; Kamemoto, S.; Sawamoto, M. Chem.-Asian J. 2008, 3 (8-9), 1358-1364.
- (14) Yoda, H.; Nakatani, K.; Terashima, T.; Ouchi, M.; Sawamoto, M. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43* (13), 5595–5601.
- (15) Fukuzaki, Y.; Tomita, Y.; Terashima, T.; Ouchi, M.; Sawamoto, M. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43* (14), 5989–5995.
- (16) He, D.; Noh, S. K.; Lyoo, W. S. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. **2011**, 49 (21), 4594–4602.
- (17) Plichta, A.; Li, W. W.; Matyjaszewski, K. *Macromolecules* **2009**, 42 (7), 2330–2332.
- (18) Wilkinson, G.; Rosenblum, M.; Whiting, M. C.; Woodward, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74 (8), 2125–2126.
- (19) Connelly, N. G.; Geiger, W. E. Chem. Rev. **1996**, 96 (2), 877–910.
- (20) Prins, R.; Korswage, Ar; Kortbeek, A. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 39 (2), 335-344.
- (21) Ando, T.; Kamigaito, M.; Sawamoto, M. *Macromolecules* **2000**, 33 (16), 5825–5829.
- (22) Watanabe, Y.; Ando, T.; Kamigaito, M.; Sawamoto, M. *Macromolecules* **2001**, *34* (13), 4370–4374.